

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/762,578		02/07/2001	Aloke Gupta	10002473-4	5247
22879 7590 04/07/2005				EXAMINER	
		ARD COMPANY	WALLERSON, MARK E		
	,	04 E. HARMONY R ROPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT CO	LLINS, C	O 80527-2400	2626	-	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/762,578	GUPTA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark E. Wallerson	2626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 January 2005.					
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) 1-5,10-24 and 26-28 is/are pendidal Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,10-24 and 26-28 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration. ed.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap prionty documents have been i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
•							
Attachmen	t(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	, — — · · · · ·	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2626

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 1/18/2005.
- 2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-5, 10-24 and 26-28 are pending.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klug (U.S. 6,591,245) in view of Salisbury et al (Salisbury) (U.S. 6,397,231).

With respect to claims 1, 15, 16, 21, 22, 24, and 27, Klug discloses a system (100) comprising: a plurality of content providers (110) coupled to a network (108) and one or more publication agents (102, 104, 106), coupled to the network (108), to issue one or more requests for content objects from select content providers (column 4, lines 44-54) according to a

Art Unit: 2626

publication schedule denoted in a publication profile (column 4, lines 44-54), and at least one virtual sensor that covertly provides the system with feedback as to the receipt of the content objects and feedback on which of the content objects are of interest to a particular user (column 5, lines 1-67).

Klug differs from claims 1, 15, 16, 21, and 22 in that he does not clearly disclose a formatting engine coupled to the network to receive content objects from the content providers and dynamically compile the publication, wherein the initial formatting of the retrieved content is based on preferences in the publication profile.

Salisbury discloses a document retrieval and publication system comprising a formatting engine coupled to the network (which reads on the bit provider) (column 7, lines 35-41 and lines 57-65 and column 11, lines 26-34) to receive content objects from the content providers and dynamically compile the publication (column 7, lines 35-65), wherein the initial formatting of the retrieved content is based on preferences in the publication profile (column 16, lines 27-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Klug to include a formatting engine coupled to the network to receive content objects from the content providers and dynamically compile the publication, wherein the initial formatting of the retrieved content is based on preferences in the publication profile. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Klug by the teaching of Salisbury in order to provide a unified manner of receiving content and achieve flexibility in the document management as disclosed by Salisbury in column 14, lines 53-55.

Art Unit: 2626

With regard to claims 2 and 23, Klug discloses the publication denotes a time for publication (column 4, lines 64-67 and column 5, lines 48-56).

With respect to claim 3, Klug discloses a publication location (column 4, lines 31-43).

With regard to claim 4, Klug discloses the publication profile denotes a time for publication, where to send the content objects and the requested format and type of content requested (column 5, lines 48-56 and column 4, lines 31-43).

With respect to claim 5, Klug discloses types of content objects requested include media types audio content, video content, graphical content, textual content (column 5, lines48-56 and column 1, lines 23-50).

With regard to claims 19, 20, and 28, Klug discloses the publication agents cache responses to content object requests to satisfy subsequent publication profiles requesting similar content objects (figures 10 and 11).

With regard to claims 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 26, Klug differs from claims 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 26 in that he does not clearly disclose the formatting engine is located at a point of publication and the formatting engine broadcasts the publication profile to the network, and the publication profile includes an address of the agent.

Salisbury discloses the formatting engine is located at a point of publication (column 8, lines 3-7) and the formatting engine broadcasts the publication profile to the network, and the publication profile includes an address of the agent (column 12, line 66 to column 13, line 24 and column 17, lines 1-34).

With regard to claim 11, Klug discloses the point of publication is a computing system associated with the recipient (column 4, lines 32-38).

Art Unit: 2626

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (572) 272-7470. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

MARKWALLERS